A.T. Still University Still ScholarWorks

OT Student Capstones

Occupational Therapy Department

2019

Case Report: A Modified Early Passive Mobilization Protocol for a Zone II Flexor Pollicis Longus Repair

Brandon Ryan A.T. Still University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.atsu.edu/ot-capstones

Part of the Occupational Therapy Commons

Recommended Citation

Ryan, Brandon, "Case Report: A Modified Early Passive Mobilization Protocol for a Zone II Flexor Pollicis Longus Repair" (2019). *OT Student Capstones*. 45. https://scholarworks.atsu.edu/ot-capstones/45

This Capstone is brought to you for free and open access by the Occupational Therapy Department at Still ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in OT Student Capstones by an authorized administrator of Still ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact mohammadaslam@atsu.edu.

Case Report: A Modified Early Passive Mobilization Protocol for a Zone II Flexor Pollicis Longus Repair

Background

- Flexor tendon repairs can be one of the most challenging injuries in hand therapy.
- Rates of ruptures and adhesions are as high as 17%.
- There are specific protocols that are used in practice, but there as to which one gives the best outcomes. (see Table 1)
- There is a relative sparsity of research specifically focusing on pollicis longus (FPL) repairs.
- There are special considerations for FPL following tendon repair • The FPL is the only extrinsic tendon in zone II and is respon
 - IP and MP flexion. The FPL tendon is likely to retract farther leading to a secon
 - incision at the wrist to retrieve the tendon. • Extra step during surgery leads to problems unique to the the
 - Additional adhesions at second incision site.
 - Additional adhesion form pulling tendon into place.
 - Increased tension on the repair leads to increased possib rupture.
- Tendon Lag:
 - If there is good active motion, then therapy should be advan slowly to avoid a rupture.
 - If there is bad active motion, therapy should be advanced to adhesions.



Purpose

- Review the progression of a client with a zone II FPL lacera a modified early passive mobilization technique.
- Outline the clinical reasoning behind the selected treatment and its components for managing an FPL tendon repair.

Case Description

- 33-year-old male who lacerated his FPL tendon in zone II whi frozen English muffin.
- Working as a bartender prior to injury. Not working due to inju return to same job when appropriate.
- Two incisions made: one at thumb for tendon repair and anothe retrieve the retracted tendon.
- Type of suture repair unknown.
- Placed in forearm-based thumb immobilization until start of the
- Surgery performed 11 days after injury. Therapy started 6 days after

<u>Outcomes</u>

- Patient reported no difficulty with functional tasks at home and in com • Still had some hypersensitivity at scar on thumb when washing dis
- Excellent outcome according to Buck-Gramcko's Assessment of FPL Repairs.
- Grip strength with normal limits for his age.
- See Table 2.

Brandon Ryan, OTD/S Faculty Mentor: Dr. Michael J. Gerg, OTR/L, CHT, CEES, CWCE; Community Mentor: Steven Kremer, OT, CHT

Program Affiliation, A. T. Still University, Mesa, AZ

es to treat	Week	Immobilization (Ahlschwede, 1991)	Duran & Houser (Formby, 2006)	Kleinert (Formby, 2006)	This Case	EAM #1 (Elliot, Moiemen, Flemming, Harris, & Foster, 1994)	EAM #2 (Farzad et al., 2014)
is a debate flexor ir:	1	 Immobilization in cast – moderate flexion 	 Dorsal block splint – wrist 20°, MP 45°, IP relaxed, Passive ROM to affected digit Composite flexion of thumb joints Passive flexion/extension of IP and MCP joints separately. Passively flex wrist while extending thumb to neutral (in therapy only) 	 Dorsal block splint with dynamic traction – wrist 45°, MCP joint fixed, IP relaxed. active extension of thumb against with passive flexion performed with assist from unaffected hand 	 Thumb placed in forearm-based thumb immobilization splint fabricated during surgery until therapy started at beginning of week 2 	 Two types of dorsal static splints One only blocked thumb One blocked thumb and fingers Active extension to dorsal blocking splint/25% of full active flexion 	 Dorsal static splint. Wrist between 0 and 30 degrees flexion, MP joints in 70 to 90 degrees flexion Therapy initiated 3-days post- surgery. Place and Holds with active hold for 3-5 seconds
ndary	2				 Thumb immobilization splint Passive Flexion/Active Extension ROM 	Full extension into splint/active flexion to 50% of full flexion	
numb:	3	 Discontinue cast, begin active ROM 			 Continue Same Pt stopped wearing splint (against therapist direction) 	Progression to full active flexion and extension	Active flexion exercises
bility of	4	 Continue active flexion and extension 	 Begin Active ROM in Splint 	 Begin AROM flexion/extension without resistance 	 Physician Follow-up Thumb IP Active flexion/extend with MP and wrist blocked in flexion Light Active Exercise 		
nced more	5	 Passive extension as tolerate, no splint 		 Discontinue splint 	• Continue Same	• Splint only worn at night	
o reduce	б	 Dynamic splint for more extension, if needed 	• Discontinue Splint		• Continue Same	Full range of movements in wrist and fingers	 Blocking exercises Strengthening initiated
	7				Active ExerciseLight Strengthening		
	8		 Strengthening (gradually progress) 	 Begin gentle resistive flexion exercises Splint for flexion contractures, if necessary 	• Strengthening	 Splint discarded Return to work (no heavy manual labor) Strengthening initiated 	
	9				• Continue Same	 Passive extension exercise Dynamic splinting, if needed 	
	10			• Begin blocking and progressive resistance exercises	• Continue Same	• Heavy lifting initiated	
	12				 Discharge from therapy HEP: No heavy lifting at gym till week 12 		
		• Full, normal activity	• Full, normal activity	• Full, normal activity	0	• Full, normal activity	• Full, normal activity

Timeline/Interventions/Discharge Evaluation

	<u>Timeline</u>	Surgery	Week 1	Weeks 2-3	Weeks 4-6	Weeks 7-10	Week 11
ration using							
nt approach		Suture Repair Unknown	No Therapy	Passive Mobilization Phase	Active Mobilization Phase	Strengthening	Discharge
			Did not remove	Passive Flexion-	 Place & Holds Active ID 	Resisted repet pinch with	itive
ile attempting to cut a			immobilizati on splint	ActiveExtensionPassive IP	• Active IP Flexion- Extension	 Fine motor act with tweezers 	tivity
jury but planned to				Flexion- Extension	with MP & Wrist	Resisted thumDynaflex Gyre	bciser oball
ner at the wrist to				With MP & Wrist	Blocked	 Theraputty exe Resisted Wrist 	ercises t
	Table 2. D	ischarge Ev	aluation	вюскеа		Isotonics	
herapy. vs after surgery.	Strength Testing Active Rang			ve Range of Motio	n	Semmes-We (volar distal	einstein phalanx)

surgery.	Strength Testing	Active Range of Motion	(volar distal phalanx)
	Left Hand:	Left Hand/Thumb:	Left Thumb:
	\circ Grip: 91 lbs	• Wrist: 69/77°	o 3.84
	○ Lateral Pinch: 19 lbs	\circ Thumb IP: 0/46°	(diminished protective sense)
munity	○ 3-Jaw Pinch: 20 lbs	○ Thumb MP: 0/67°	
	○ 2-point Pinch: 10 lbs		<u>Right Thumb:</u>
snes.	Right Hand:	<u>Right Hand/Thumb:</u>	• 2.73 (normal)
Tendon	• Grip: 119 lbs	• Wrist: 67/79°	
	 Lateral Pinch: 25 lbs 	\circ Thumb IP: +55/54°	
	○ 3-Jaw Pinch: 25 lbs	\circ Thumb MP: 0/71°	
	○ 2-point Pinch: 14 lbs		

A.T. STILL UNIVERSITY ATSU ARIZONA SCHOOL OF HEALTH SCIENCES

Table 1. Comparison of Flexor Pollicis Longus Protocols

- would be best for the client.
- presence of tendon lag.
- excellent outcome.
- flexor tendon repairs.
- therapy.

- great way to assess patient progress throughout therapy.



I would like to thank Steven Kremer for his mentorship and collaboration in the clinic, Dr. Michael Gerg for his advice and feedback while preparing for and writing up the project, and Tania Shearon for her advice and for help finding a community mentor.





Discussion

• The lack of evidence for FPL repair protocols made it difficult to know which protocol

• This was more of a pseudo modified Early Passive Mobilization protocol based on

• In this case, tendon lag was used as an indicator to initiate active mobilization exercises (i.e. Place and Holds) earlier than anticipated which helped lead to an

Place and Holds were selected as an initial active mobilization exercise due to the support in the literature that they are relatively safe and effective during treatment of

• More literature focused on the aspects of clinical decision making surrounding advancing or scaling back exercises, instead of temporal based protocols, would be nice for creating individualized treatment plans based on client's specific needs and progress during

Limitations

• A custom splint was not fabricated for the client during the initial evaluation in the clinic which is always recommended as part of flexor tendon protocols.

• The protocol was not followed as intended due to client non-compliance.

• A functional outcomes measure was not used (i.e. QuickDASH) which would have been a

References/Literature cited

References available upon request.

Acknowledgments